

PRECEDENTIAL

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

---

No. 03-4193

---

ZELJKO PARIPOVIC  
Petitioner

v.

\*ALBERTO R. GONZALES, ATTORNEY GENERAL  
OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
Respondent

\* Substituted pursuant to Rule 43c, F.R.A.P.

---

On Appeal from an Order entered before  
The Board of Immigration Appeals  
(No. A72-780-152)

---

Argued December 13, 2004

Before: AMBRO, VAN ANTWERPEN and STAPLETON, Circuit Judges

(Opinion filed August 12, 2005)

Sunit K. Joshi, Esquire (Argued)  
Sokol Braha, Esquire  
Joshi & Associates, P.C.  
225 Broadway, Suite 705  
New York, NY 10007

Attorneys for Petitioner

Peter D. Keisler  
Assistant Attorney General  
Civil Division  
Richard M. Evans

Assistant Director  
Douglas Ginsburg, Esquire  
John D. Williams, Esquire  
David E. Dauenheimer, Esquire (Argued)  
United States Department of Justice  
Office of Immigration Litigation  
P.O. Box 878, Ben Franklin Station  
Washington, D.C. 20044

Attorneys for Respondent

**ORDER AMENDING PUBLISHED OPINION**

AMBRO, *Circuit Judge*

IT IS NOW ORDERED that the published Opinion in the above case filed August 12, 2005, be amended as follows:

On page 7, replace the entire paragraph in the middle of the page beginning “Because Paripovic’s deportation . . . (Sept. 30, 1996).”, with the following:

At the time Paripovic’s petition for review (which relates to deportation proceedings begun prior to April 1, 1997) was filed, our jurisdiction arose from the former Immigration and Nationality Act (“INA”) § 106(a) and was governed by the “transitional rules” of § 309(c) of the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-208, 110 Stat. 3009 (Sept. 30, 1996). On May 11, 2005, Congress enacted The REAL ID Act, which provides, *inter alia*, that a “petition for review filed under former section 106(a) of the Immigration and Nationality Act . . . shall be treated as if it had been filed as a petition for review under [8 U.S.C. § 1252], as amended by this section.” REAL ID Act § 106(d), 119 Stat. 310-311 (May 11, 2005). Thus, our jurisdiction now arises under 8 U.S.C. § 1252, as amended by The REAL ID Act. *Cf. Elia v. Gonzales*, \_\_\_ F.3d \_\_\_, 2005 WL 1903723 at \*3 (6th Cir. July 22, 2005).

By the Court,

/s/ Thomas L. Ambro, Circuit Judge

Dated: August 29, 2005