

NOT PRECEDENTIAL

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No: 06-2788

MARK DAVID FRANKEL,

Appellant

v.

SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA, et al,

Appellee

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania
(05-CV-01450)
District Court: Hon. Legrome D. Davis

Submitted July 24, 2008
Pursuant to Third Circuit LAR 34.1(a)

Before: McKEE, FUENTES, and WEIS, Circuit Judges,

MARK DAVID FRANKEL, *pro se*
1510 Kentwood Lane
York, PA 17403
Counsel for Appellant

MARY BUTLER, ESQ.
Administrative Office of the Pennsylvania Courts
1515 Market Street, Suite 1414
Philadelphia, PA 19102
Counsel for Appellee

(Filed August 13, 2008)

OPINION OF THE COURT

McKee, *Circuit Judge*.

Mark David Frankel appeals the district court's April 4, 2005, April 20, 2005, and April 27, 2006 orders, which together dismissed the complaint in which he had alleged a cause of action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. In that complaint, Frankel alleged that the Disciplinary Board of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania, and certain named individuals violated his Fourteenth Amendment right to procedural due process during disciplinary proceedings that resulted in his disbarment. We will affirm.

Inasmuch as we write only for the parties who are familiar with this case, we need not recite the factual or procedural background of this dispute. We have reviewed the district court's thorough and thoughtful memoranda explaining the absence of subject matter jurisdiction to hear some of Frankel's claims in federal court, and why the defendants are entitled to immunity on his remaining claims. The district court's memoranda fully and accurately explain why Frankel's complaint must be dismissed, and we will affirm substantially for the reasons set forth therein.