

HLD-004

NOT PRECEDENTIAL

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No. 23-3029

IN RE: ANTHONY WILLIAMS,
Petitioner

On a Petition for Writ of Mandamus from the
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania
(Related to E.D. Pa. Civ. No. 5:21-cv-05135)

Submitted Pursuant to Rule 21, Fed. R. App. P.
December 20, 2023

Before: CHAGARES, Chief Judge, SHWARTZ, and RESTREPO, Circuit Judges

(Opinion filed April 2, 2024April 2, 2024)

OPINION*

PER CURIAM

On November 16, 2023, Anthony Williams filed a pro se petition for a writ of mandamus asking this Court to order the District Court to adjudicate his petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. By order entered March 26, 2024, the District Court adopted the Magistrate Judge’s report and recommendation and denied Williams’ habeas petition. As Williams’ mandamus petition no longer presents a live

* This disposition is not an opinion of the full Court and pursuant to I.O.P. 5.7 does not constitute binding precedent.

controversy, we will dismiss it as moot. See Blanciak v. Allegheny Ludlum Corp., 77 F.3d 690, 698-99 (3d Cir. 1996) (“If developments occur during the course of adjudication that . . . prevent a court from being able to grant the requested relief, the case must be dismissed as moot.”).