

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No. 26-2733

IN RE: MALIK UHURU MUGABE
A/K/A MARLON PEEK,
Petitioner

On a Petition for Writ of Mandamus to the
United States District Court for the District of New Jersey
(Related to D.N.J. No. 2:15-cr-00609-001)

Submitted Pursuant to Rule 21, Fed. R. App. P.
July 30, 2026

Before: *Chief Judge* CHAGARES, HARDIMAN, and RESTREPO, *Circuit Judges*

(Opinion filed August 31, 2026)

OPINION*

PER CURIAM

Malik Mugabe filed a *pro se* petition for a writ of mandamus asking us to direct the District Court to rule upon his motion for compassionate release under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A). Mugabe also moved to amend his petition to add two other pending

* This disposition is not an opinion of the full Court and pursuant to I.O.P. 5.7 does not constitute binding precedent.

motions—one to modify and the other to suspend his restitution obligations, filed in December 2025 and February 2026, respectively—that he also wants us to order the District Court to rule upon. We grant his motion to amend but will dismiss the mandamus petition as moot.

His petition is moot because the District Court has entered orders denying all three motions. *See* ECF Docs. 175, 176, 181, 182; *Blanciak v. Allegheny Ludlum Corp.*, 77 F.3d 690, 698-99 (3d Cir. 1996) (“If developments occur during the course of adjudication that eliminate a plaintiff’s personal stake in the outcome of a suit or prevent a court from being able to grant the requested relief, the case must be dismissed as moot.”).

Accordingly, we will dismiss Mugabe’s petition as moot.