

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No. 26-2748

IN RE: QUENTIN JONES,
Petitioner

On a Petition for Writ of Mandamus to the
United States District Court for the District of Delaware
(Related to 1:22-cv-01057)

Submitted Pursuant to Rule 21, Fed. R. App. P.
August 20, 2026

Before: CHAGARES, *Chief Judge*, HARDIMAN, and RESTREPO, *Circuit Judges*

(Opinion filed: September 17, 2026)

OPINION*

PER CURIAM

Quentin Jones filed a petition for a writ of mandamus directing the District Court to rule on a motion to compel that he had filed in relation to his pending habeas petition.

On September 4, 2026, the District Court entered an order denying his petition and motion to compel. Accordingly, we will dismiss Jones’s mandamus petition as moot.

See Blanciak v. Allegheny Ludlum Corp., 77 F.3d 690, 698–99 (3d Cir. 1996) (noting that

* This disposition is not an opinion of the full Court and pursuant to I.O.P. 5.7 does not constitute binding precedent.

“[i]f developments occur during the course of adjudication that . . . prevent a court from being able to grant the requested relief, the case must be dismissed as moot”).